

<b>Family Name</b>	Rutter
<b>Given Name</b>	Sylvia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286865
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Rutter
<b>Given Name</b>	Sylvia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286865
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Over the last 20 months the proposed site has been a god send for people in Bamford and Norden, but also for folk across Rochdale and other areas of Greater Manchester, especially during the legally enforced lockdowns. Day after day this site was used by so many people exercising their right to use and enjoy nationally protected green belt land, improving both their physical and mental health. Now, hopefully, the lockdowns are over, still many, many people are enjoying the health benefits of strolling, walking, running, exercising their dogs - as well as children once again playing on the 2 sports areas of the nationally protected land. This site is one of the lungs of Rochdale. There are many more reasons :- the traffic chaos which will certainly ensue if the proposed number of houses are built; the total inadequacy of school places which would be available; the annual flooding of the area which, as a keen walker myself, I regularly experience with muddy boots, socks and trousers to wash after every stroll!
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	This site fails to comply with many PfE Objectives e.g. 2, 7, 8, 9 and is not consistent with e.g. NPPF chapters 2, 8, 9, 13, 14. Hence the modification that I am seeking is for the JPA 19 Bamford/Norden to be REMOVED from the PfE